

for this information is voluntary. It is needed to monitor defects found in this inspection system. It is used by FSIS to determine whether establishments comply. 9 CFR 301 and 9 CFR 381. FORM APPROVED OMB No. 0583-0089. OMB DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 7 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and reviewing the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Officer, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, DC 20250: and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget.

US Department of Agriculture  
FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE  
NONCOMPLIANCE RECORD

TYPE OF NONCOMPLIANCE

Food Safety       Other Consumer Protection

1. DATE

05/30/2006

2. RECORD NO.

0092-2006-8897

3. ESTABLISHMENT NO.

04653A M / 2

4. TO (Name and Title)

5. PERSONNEL NOTIFIED

BG

6. RELEVANT REGULATION(S)

416.4(d)

7. SECTION/PAGE OF EST. PROCEDURE PLAN

HACCP

SSOP

OTHER

HACCP product grind

8. ISP CODE

01C02

9. NONCOMPLIANCE CLASSIFICATION INDICATORS

SSOP - Monitoring

(b)(4)

10. DESCRIPTION OF NONCOMPLIANCE

36  
At approximately 15:20 the following noncompliance was observed in the north sausage kitchen at the [redacted] A plastic product label approximately 2 1/2 inches X 3 1/2 inches in size was still attached to a piece of product in the grinder hopper. The grinder was running and producing ground product when this observation was made. The grinding operation was stopped at this time and [redacted] was informed of this noncompliance. Three V-mags of ground product were retained with US retained tags B35858959, B35858960, and B35858965. The grinder was rejected from use with tag B35857820. No corrective actions or preventive measures were given at the time of this noncompliance. The potential for this noncompliance happening was related to a production supervisor on 5/29/06 when a product label was observed stuck to the side of the product hopper but not in the auger section of the grinder.

Stated in the Agriprocessors hazard analysis for product grinding the possibility of foreign object contamination is not a large risk because there is an "Inspection of products for foreign objects at a step prior to grinding". This inspection step is either ineffective or has not been properly implemented and monitored.

11. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTION PROGRAM EMPLOYEE

[redacted signature]

BG/BTC

You are hereby advised of your right to appeal this decision as delineated by 306.5 and/or 381.35 of 9 CFR.

12. PLANT MANAGEMENT RESPONSE (Immediate action(s)):

all product was reinspected by company and destroyed as it was not possible to safely determine if any label was present.

13. PLANT MANAGEMENT RESPONSE (further planned action(s)):

all employees received training on proper inspection for and removal of labels. In addition no further grinding preparation (removal of meats from bags for grinding etc.) without supervision by a gold hat or higher.

This document serves as written notification that your failure to comply with regulatory requirement(s) could result in additional regulatory or administrative action.

14. SIGNATURE OF PLANT MANAGEMENT

William J. Korman

15. DATE

06-07-06

16. VERIFICATION SIGNATURE OF INSPECTION PROGRAM EMPLOYEE

[redacted signature]

DATE

06-07-06

This information is voluntary. It is needed to monitor defects found in this inspection system. It is used by FSIS to determine whether establishments are in compliance. 9 CFR 301 and 9 CFR 381. FORM APPROVED OMB No. 0583-0069. OMB DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 7 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Officer, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, DC 20250: and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget.

US Department of Agriculture  
FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE  
NONCOMPLIANCE RECORD

TYPE OF NONCOMPLIANCE

Food Safety  Other Consumer Protection

1. DATE

07/18/2006

2. RECORD NO.

0129-2006-8897

3. ESTABLISHMENT NO.

04653A M / 2

4. TO (Name and Title)

[REDACTED]

5. PERSONNEL NOTIFIED

[REDACTED] **BC**

6. RELEVANT REGULATION(S)

416.14; 416.4(d)

7. SECTION/PAGE OF EST. PROCEDURE PLAN

HACCP

SSOP

OTHER

SOP form 300-

8. ISP CODE

01C02

9. NONCOMPLIANCE CLASSIFICATION INDICATORS

SSOP - Monitoring

10. DESCRIPTION OF NONCOMPLIANCE

At approximately 1540 the following observation was made in the north sausage kitchen. When employees were opening small bags of chicken breast meat and placing them into v-mags at least one of the metal rabbinical clips used to seal the bags had fallen into the product. No control action was taken at this time as I could not be sure that company employees did not make the same observation and would rework the product. Approximately thirty minutes after the employees had finished opening the bags of product there still had not been any action taken by company employees. At this time I notified [REDACTED] and retained the affected product with retain tag B35857867. [REDACTED] instructed a company employee to rework all chicken breast meat that had been opened. From the three v-mags of product four intact clips and four half clips were found and removed. A review of the last SOP audit, performed at 1400, for the north sausage kitchen did not indicate any problems with foreign material in any products. [REDACTED] stated, as a further planned action to prevent the recurrence, that product containing rabbinical clips would not be opened directly over any product container. Instead it would be opened on a table or other suitable surface and then transferred to the product container.

NR 0129-2006-8897 dated 7/18/06 is linked to NR 0092-2006-8897 dated 5/30/06 for like cause.

11. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTION PROGRAM EMPLOYEE

[REDACTED] **BC 1132C**

You are hereby advised of your right to appeal this decision as delineated by 306.5 and/or 301.35 of 9 CFR.

12. PLANT MANAGEMENT RESPONSE (Formal Response(s))

13. PLANT MANAGEMENT RESPONSE (Other planned action(s))

This document serves as written notification that your failure to comply with regulatory requirement(s) could result in additional regulatory or administrative action.

14. SIGNATURE OF PLANT MANAGEMENT

15. DATE

16. VERIFICATION SIGNATURE OF INSPECTION PROGRAM EMPLOYEE

17. DATE

153-2006-8897	8/30/2006	01C02	C	Mr. Bill Kiernan	[REDACTED]	(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)	<p>Supervisor [REDACTED] had the product reconditioned and retrained the fork lift operator. 9 CFR 416.4(d) States "Product must be protected from adulteration during processing, handling, storage, loading, and unloading at and during transportation from official establishments".</p> <p>At approximately 1440 hrs I was called to the floor to speak with [REDACTED] showed me a chicken with at least three pieces of a shiny metallic substance located on exposed thigh muscle adjacent to the vent area. [REDACTED] informed me that he had personally observed at least four chickens with pieces of shiny metallic substance in approximately the same area. He also showed me two small slivers of a bluish plastic substance that he had found on a chicken carcass. I immediately took a regulatory control action and stopped the production line. I immediately notified [REDACTED] of the problem. He placed two individuals on the line near the rework stations to inspect the chickens for foreign material. Affected product would be removed and reworked. He also placed individuals near the pieces of processing equipment in operation prior to the FSIS inspection stations. These individuals were to inspect the chickens and observe the function of the equipment to try to identify the source so that preventive measures could be taken.</p>
153-2006-8897	8/30/2006	06D01	K	Mr. Bill Kiernan	Mr. Bill Kiernan	<b>Appeal</b>	<p>At approximately 0850 hours while performing PBIS task code 06D01 with [REDACTED], we observed the following deficiencies in the Non-Kosher box cooler: 1) The storage racks were in a general state of disrepair, with extensive areas of peeling paint and rust. Flakes of paint and rust were observed to be accumulating on the tops of combos and pallets of product that were being stored in the area. 2) Numerous damaged pallets were observed. These pallets had broken and splintered boards with exposed sharp edges and points. Pieces of wood were observed on the floor and on the tops of combos and pallets of product stored in</p>

The request for this information is voluntary. It is needed to monitor defects found in this inspection system. It is used by FSIS to determine whether establishments are in compliance. 9 CFR 301 and 9 CFR 381. FORM APPROVED OMB No. 0583-0089. OMB DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 7 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Officer, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, DC 20250; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget.

US Department of Agriculture  
FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE  
NONCOMPLIANCE RECORD

TYPE OF NONCOMPLIANCE

Food Safety     Other Consumer Protection

50

1. DATE: 09/06/2006      2. RECORD NO.: 0161-2006-8897      3. ESTABLISHMENT NO.: 04653A M / 1

4. TO (Name and Title): Mr. Bill Kiernan, technical services director      5. PERSONNEL NOTIFIED: [REDACTED]

6. RELEVANT REGULATION(S): 416.4(d)

7. SECTION/PAGE OF EST. PROCEDURE PLAN: HACCP    SSOP    OTHER

8. ISP CODE: 01C02      9. NONCOMPLIANCE CLASSIFICATION INDICATORS: SSOP - Monitoring

10. DESCRIPTION OF NONCOMPLIANCE  
At approximately 0730 hours [REDACTED] was contacted in the USDA office to investigate a problem in Poultry evis. The food inspectors had held back several birds for veterinary disposition. One bird had a metallic sliver, approximately 5 mm x 1mm on the exposed surface of the hock. Another bird had a metallic triangular-shaped piece of foreign material, approximately 7mm x 2mm on the breast, in an area in which the skin had been removed and the breast muscle damaged. [REDACTED] called a company break to assess the problem. He planned to station employees adjacent to equipment to aid in identifying the source. He also planned to station two employees prior to the USDA inspection stations to inspect birds, and to place an employee in the cut-up room to inspect birds already in production as they entered the cut-up line. The source of the metallic material was identified as the hock cutter and corrective maintenance was performed. A similar non-compliance was observed and documented with Noncompliance Record #0154-2006-8897 on 08-30-06. This document serves as written notification of the repetitive nature of this noncompliance and the continued failure to comply with the regulatory requirements may result in additional regulatory or administrative actions.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(c)

11. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTION PROGRAM EMPLOYEE: [REDACTED]

You are hereby advised of your right to appeal this decision as delineated by 306.5 and/or 381.35 of 9 CFR.

12. PLANT MANAGEMENT RESPONSE (Immediate action(s)): Affected product trimmed to remove contamination

13. PLANT MANAGEMENT RESPONSE (further planned action(s)): Source of contamination was determined to be the hock cutter - the machine was overhauled by factory tech.

This document serves as written notification that your failure to comply with regulatory requirement(s) could result in additional regulatory or administrative action.

14. SIGNATURE OF PLANT MANAGEMENT: Gary Row [REDACTED]      15. DATE: 9-8-06

[REDACTED]      17. DATE: 9-21-06